

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO | |
|---|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|--|
| 10/717,954 | 11/19/2003 | Eric C. Hannah | 42390P12033 | 9026 | |
| 7: | 590 09/03/2004 | | EXAM | INER | |
| Michael A. Bernadicou Blakely, Sokoloff, Taylor & Zafman LLP Seventh Floor 12400 Wilshire Boulevard | | | NGUYEN, VIET Q | | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2818 | | |
| Los Angeles, (| CA 90025-1030 | | DATE MAILED: 09/03/2004 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | MI |
|--|---|--|---------------------|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/717,954 | HANNAH ET AL. | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Viet Q Nguyen | 2818 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence ad | dress |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI | nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | /. ommunication. |
| Status | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under E. | action is non-final. ce except for formal matters, pro | | merits is |
| Disposition of Claims | | | |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 47-84 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) 47-84 are subject to restriction and/or | n from consideration. | | |
| Application Papers | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction and the original transfer of the original transfer of the second sheet and | epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CF | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of | s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | on No ed in this National | Stage |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | ate | D-152) |

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 47-84 are pending for examination.

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Group 1, claims 47-59 are drawn to a method comprising the steps of "polarizing a HMC layer with a first majority M-ESP", "depositing an energy gap", and "depositing a low LMC layer";

Group 2, claims 60-67, 85-91 are drawn to a method comprising the steps of "injecting a flow of spin-polarized electrons via an energy gap", "accumulating said spin-polarized electrons, from said injecting, in a LMC layer having a majority M-ESP antiparallel to said spin-polarized electrons", and "flipping said M-ESP of said LMC layer to be parallel with said spin-polarized electrons due to said accumulating";

Group 3, claims 68-71 are drawn to an apparatus comprising a magnetic layer of material having a M-ESP and a magnetic-mirror layer of material (MM) having an ESP, wherein said MM to substantially allow electrons having an ESP parallel to said ESP of said MM to pass through said MM and to substantially prevent electrons having an ESP anti-parallel to said to said ESP of said MM from passing through said MM and said MM to cause an accumulation to the anti-parallel electrons to effect said M-ESP of said magnetic layer of material;

Art Unit: 2818

Group 4, claims 72-84 are drawn to an energy gap apparatus comprising a first magnetic mirror (MM), a second magnetic mirror (MM), and a conductive layer of material disposed between said first MM and said second MM to magnetically decouple said first MM from said second MM.

2. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, *no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over

Art Unit: 2818

the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35

U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Viet Q Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-

1788. The examiner can normally be reached on 7am-6pm (EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, David Nelms can be reached on (703) 308-4910. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Viet Q Nguyen
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2818

V. Nguyen 08/30/2004

V. pruyen